


© 2025 Husch Blackwell LLP. All Rights Reserved.





What is Title IX?

"[N]o person in the United States shall on the basis of sex be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving federal financial assistance."

34 C.F.R. § 106.31

HUSCHBLACKWELL




To which entities does Title IX apply?





Entities that receive federal financial assistance, including colleges and universities that participate in U.S. Dept. of Ed. Federal Student Aid funding


- Not individual persons
 - But institutions are required to adopt policies and procedures to implement
 Title IX that do apply to individual persons


6















What are examples of education programs and activities?

Admissions	Financial Aid	Athletics	Housing
Academics	Employment	Concerts, Performances, and Events on Campus	Clinical Rotations and Placements
Organized Trips and Volunteer Outings	Sponsored Organization Activities	Online Programs	Activities in Property Owned or Controlled by the Institution
	© 2025 Husch	Blackwell LLP	














EXAMPLE: Quid pro quo

A graduate assistant supervises a Saturday lab session. The assistant is attracted to a particular undergraduate and offers to give the undergraduate an inflated grade if the undergraduate sends the assistant naked pictures. The undergraduate has no romantic interest in the assistant. But the undergraduate sends the pictures anyway fearing that she will receive a poor grade if she does not.

HUSCH BLACKWELL

© 2025 Husch Blackwell LLP


What is hostile environment?

Unwelcome conduct determined by a reasonable person to be so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies a person equal access to the recipient's education program or activity.


HUSCH BLACKWELL





20



















What is rape? (Revised NIBRS)


Penetration, no matter how slight, of the vagina or anus with any body part or object, or oral penetration by a sex organ of another person, or by a sex-related object. This definition also includes instance in which the victim is incapable of giving consent because of temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity (including due to the influence of drugs or alcohol) or because of age. Physical resistance is not required on the part of the victim to demonstrate lack of consent.

HUSCH BLACKWELL

27









EXAMPLE: Not-incapacitated


Damon and Jeremy attend a football tailgate. Each have six beers over the course of three hours. Instead of going to the game, Damon and Jeremy decide to hookup at Damon's on-campus apartment. Each separately drives from the tailgate without incident to Damon's apartment where the two have sex and then fall asleep for a few hours. Later, Damon claims he was too drunk to consent. Multiple people from the tailgate recall having conversations with Damon and Jeremy about the game, who was likely to win, and renovations planned for the stadium.

HUSCH BLACKWELL



32








What is domestic violence?

Felony or misdemeanor crimes of violence committed by a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the victim, by a person with whom the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabitating with or has cohabitated with the victim as a spouse or intimate partner, by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the victim under the domestic or family violence laws of the state, or by any other person against an adult or youth victim who is protected from that person's acts under the domestic or family violence laws of the state.


HUSCH BLACKWELL







© 2025 Husch Blackwell LLP. All Rights Reserved.



39

Does Title IX also prohibit retaliation?

Yes – Title IX prohibits intimidation, threats, coercion, or discrimination against any individual for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured by Title IX and its implementing regulations or because an individual has made a report or complaint, testified, assisted, participated in or refused to participate in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under the institution's policy.

HUSCH BLACKWELL

2025 Husch Blackwell LLP

EXAMPLE: Retaliation

Jane files a Title IX sexual harassment complaint against a coworker, accusing him of unwelcome sexual propositioning. The investigation finds some evidence to support Jane's complaint, but a hearing panel ultimately finds the coworker's conduct did not rise to the level of harassment. Annoved at the distraction Jane's complaint has caused for the department, Jane's supervisor looks for a reason to terminate Jane and eventually fires her when she shows up to work 10 minutes late.

HUSCHBLACKWELL

Jasper and Kris are members of College's mock trial club and are partners for an upcoming competition at Big City University. The **Group Scenario** club is student-run and has no advisor. Students arrange and pay for their own travel to competitions. College covers only the entrance fee. During one on-campus practice with the whole team present, Jasper tells a raunchy sexual joke that Kris finds offensive. Once, while Jasper and Kris are practicing on their own at Kris's off-campus apartment, Jasper comments "If we didn't have to practice, I'd like to f*** you right now." The day before the competition, Jasper and Kris drive to Big City in Kris's car. Jasper and Kris each have their own hotel room that each independently paid for. Kris comes to Jasper's room for a final run-through. In the hotel room, Jasper repeatedly comments on Kris's appearance and eventually places their hand on Kris's crotch. Kris slaps Jasper and leaves the room. HUSCH BLACKWELL


The next morning, Jasper wakes up to find that Kris has left the hotel and returned to College. Without a partner, Jasper is forced to withdraw and take a ride-share back to College. Kris eventually files a Title IX complaint against Jasper.

42














46

















When do we reach out to the alleged victim?

- After institution has actual knowledge of alleged sexual harassment, Title IX Coordinator must contact alleged victim
- Provide information about supportive measures, explain the grievance process and how to file a formal complaint, and discuss the alleged victim's wishes



HUSCH BLACKWELL


0 2025 Husch Blackwell LLP


Sherman v. The Regents of Univ. of Cal. (N.D. Cal. 2022)

In allowing claim of Title IX deliberate indifference to proceed, court noted the allegation that over four months passed between the former graduate student plaintiff's initial email to the dean about the professor respondent's conduct and U.C. Santa Cruz placing him on leave pending an investigation.





HUSCH BLACKWELL
























What if the report falls outside Title IX jurisdiction?




- Title IX requires supportive measures for reported sexual harassment covered by Title IX
- Institute may provide supportive measures for reported conduct that falls outside Title IX's scope











































Group Scenario



Sage reports to the Title IX Coordinator that their roommate Blair came home after a party, looking disheveled and crying. Sage reports that Blair has not left their apartment and speculates that Blair may have been sexually assaulted. Sage discloses that Blair has made "suicidal comments" in the past.

Title IX Coordinator secures a meeting with Blair, but Blair is guarded and asks questions about confidentiality. Reluctant to share details, Blair discloses only that something "horrible" happened with "Sawyer." Blair comments, "I can't believe I put myself in this situation." Title IX Coordinator encourages Blair to seek counseling.


After the meeting, the Title IX Coordinator confirms that another student recently made a sexual assault report about Sawyer Jones. That student is still deciding whether to make a formal complaint. Registrar confirms there is only one student at the College with the first name "Sawyer."


A day later, Sage calls and reports that Blair has started cutting their arms.










When must we dismiss a Title IX complaint?





- Alleged sexual harassment occurred
 outside education programs or activities
- Alleged misconduct could not be sexual harassment even if true
- Complainant is not a current participant in education programs and activities at time of complaint


HUSCH BLACKWELL

87












How do we tell the parties about an investigation?





 Institution must provide the parties written notice of a formal complaint that includes sufficient details about the "who, what, when, where, and how" before investigating


















EXAMPLE: Fact witness


Jack has accused Jill of sexually assaulting Jack when Jack was incapacitated. Student witness saw Jack and Jill at a party, shortly before the assault, and observed that Jack could not stand and had to be helped by Jill to a waiting rideshare.

HUSCH BLACKWELL


2025 Husch Blackwell LLP


















106












© 2025 Husch Blackwell LLP. All Rights Reserved.









© 2025 Husch Blackwell LLP. All Rights Reserved.


























- Yes parties may be accompanied to any investigative interviews and meetings by an advisor of their choice
- Advisor may be an attorney, but does not have to be
- Institution may confine advisor to a passive role during the investigation phase
- Institution is not required to provide an advisor during the investigation phase


HUSCH BLACKWELL


2025 Husch Blackwell LLP






© 2025 Husch Blackwell LLP. All Rights Reserved.























130







© 2025 Husch Blackwell LLP. All Rights Reserved.






© 2025 Husch Blackwell LLP. All Rights Reserved.




















© 2025 Husch Blackwell LLP. All Rights Reserved.



























Jamie accuses Cleo of stalking by repeatedly sending Jamie personal text messages and lurking outside Jamie's townhouse. During the investigation, witness Damien, who is Jamie's friend, tells the investigator he saw a figure lurking outside Jamie's townhouse, and he is confident it was Cleo. The investigator did not ask Damien why he was so confident.


At the hearing, Cleo admits to sending personal text messages but denies ever being outside Jamie's townhouse. Under cross-examination, Cleo testifies she was studying for a midterm the night of the alleged lurking and provides a syllabus to confirm the date of the midterm. Jamie admits they never saw the face of the lurking figure. Damien does not appear at the hearing, but the investigator verbally relays what Damien said in his interview. Cleo's advisor demands the hearing be delayed until Damien's attendance is secured. The hearing officer denies the request.

The hearing officer credits Damien's testimony to the investigator and finds Cleo responsible for stalking. The hearing officer notes that the decision is a "close one" and that Cleo's actions would not constitute stalking but for the fact Cleo lurked outside Jamie's townhouse.

© 2025 Husch Blackwell LLP



152




What is informal resolution?


A voluntary process to resolve formal complaints of sexual harassment through a mechanism other than the default investigation and hearing.





HUSCH BLACKWELL


© 2025 Husch Blackwell LLP



















- Deference to the parties' wishes
- Often quicker and more efficient
- Removes uncertainty of outcome
- More flexibility and creativity than a disciplinary outcome
- Mitigates litigation risk (for everyone)
- Others?


2025 Husch Blackwell LLP

















Is Title IX the exclusive process for resolving sexual misconduct?




- No
- Title IX does not preclude the use of other policies and processes that may be implicated by a report of sexual misconduct


What other policies/processes may apply?

- Title VII policy
- Consensual
 relationships policy
- Professionalism policies
- Student code of conduct

- Threat assessment
- Employee handbook
 provisions
- Faculty handbook
 provisions
- Contractual provisions

HUSCH BLACKWELL





EXAMPLE: During Title IX Student A returns to their residence hall to find Student B drunk and exposing themselves to others in the lobby. Student A makes a sexual harassment complaint. Title IX investigator secures video from the lobby that clearly depicts Student B exposing themselves to others. The residence hall contract prohibits students from appearing naked outside their room or the restroom. The student conduct code prohibits lewd or obscene behavior. Student B refuses to participate in Title IX process. HUSCHBLACKWELL


EXAMPLE: After Title IX

Student accuses faculty member of sexual harassment by pursuing romantic relationship with student that was unwelcome. Title IX hearing officer concludes that faculty member and student had a romantic relationship, that faculty member instigated it, but student welcomed the relationship and reciprocated faculty member's advances. Institution has a separate policy that prohibits faculty from pursuing or engaging in romantic relationships with students unless student and faculty member are married.



172















© 2025 Husch Blackwell LLP. All Rights Reserved.



HUSCHBLACKWELL


- To prove "erroneous outcome" theory of liability, respondent/plaintiff must show sex was motivating factor in decision
- An erroneous outcome and typical procedural errors are not enough, by themselves, to show improper motivation
- A singular alleged false accusation of sexual assault on social media is not severe, <u>pervasive</u>, and objectively offensive
- Judges are deeply divided on Title IX respondent cases





- Title IX protects employees from retaliation, similar to Title VII
- An adverse action is "one that might have dissuaded a reasonable person" from complaining about discrimination
- It is easier to show adverse action for retaliation purposes than for employment discrimination generally
- A school cannot avoid retaliation by claiming the expiration of a fixed contract is not adverse action

HUSCH BLACKWELL





- Institutions may have a tort law duty to protect students from criminal acts of peers even though students are adults
- Increasingly likely that courts impose a duty of care for sexual misconduct on campus where the risk was foreseeable
- Continued efforts by plaintiffs to expand the duty of care to that similar in a K-12 context
- State tort theories may pose a greater risk of damage verdicts than Title IX

HUSCH BLACKWELL

183

Case #4: *Parents Defending Education v. Lin Mar Community School District* (8th Cir. 2023)




- K-12 school district enacts policy that says "intentional and/or persistent refusal . . . to respect a student's gender identity is a violation" of bully and harassment policies
- Parents sue to enjoin policy as violating First Amendment rights of their children
- Policy's prohibition on a refusal to "respect a student's gender identity" is unconstitutionally vague and could prohibit clearly protected speech, such as a minor expressing the opinion that biological sex is immutable



- Policies that prohibit certain types of speech must be clear so people are on notice of what will constitute a violation
- A policy cannot define harassment or bullying to include clearly protected First Amendment speech
- Policies concerning names and pronouns must be carefully crafted and still are highly susceptible to legal challenge

HUSCH BLACKWELL



Case #6: Doe v. Ohio University (S.D. Ohio 2023)



- Plaintiff reported being sexually assaulted while incapacitated
- After making report, Plaintiff is allegedly called a liar, poked, prodded, and joked at by friends of the respondent, in class
- Professor and institution allegedly did nothing to stop the peer harassment
- Plaintiff's claim survives summary judgment
- But Plaintiff cannot pursue punitive damages or emotional distress damages under *Cummings*



HUSCH BLACKWELL

- Institutions can face Title IX deliberate indifference claims based on peer harassment prompted by a complaint
- The failure to take any action to stop peerharassment is deliberate indifference
- Supreme Court's *Cummings* decision has substantially narrowed the scope of recoverable damages on Title IX deliberate indifference claims





